# Soft Wearable Augmented Walking Suit with Pneumatic Gel Muscles and Stance Phase Detection System to Assist Gait

## Abstract

Lower limb of the human body is responsible for human locomotion and maintain a good quality of life. However, there are many instances of muscle fatigue or injuries happens due to the stressful work environment, aging and work that involve walking a long distance. Therefore, there is a need for walking assistive suit which can unload muscle activation during walking and reduce the chances of lower limb muscle fatigue. In this paper we discuss the development of lightweight and wearable Augmented Walking Suit (AWS) using Pneumatic Gel Muscle and its actuation control using lower limb pose detection mechanism by considering human gait cycle. The objective of this assistive suit is to reduce required muscle effort of posterior and anterior muscle during the swing phase of the gait cycle thereby making it easier to move forward. To evaluate the effects of the AWS, experiment was conducted to record surface EMG (sEMG) of 8 primary lower limb muscles of 7 subjects for two level of assistive air pressure. The evaluation was done based on the sEMG signal envelope and the statistical difference in average percentage of maximum voluntary contraction (\%MVC) of 8 primary lower limb muscles active during the gait cycle for two level of assistive air pressure. In our result, we found that all muscles showed a statistically significant reduction or no change in muscle activity while wearing AWS as compared to when the AWS is not worn.

## Introduction

Ability to move uninterrupted is one of the critical function of human body. It is one of the reasons for enjoying a good quality of life by enabling one to be independent for performing a variety of daily tasks. However, there are many instances such as aging, accidents and longer and more stressful working conditions that result in muscle fatigue and injuries making it difficult to walk by affecting the quality of life of the individual. Such situation can be avoided or addressed using exoskeletons or wearable assistive devices. Muscle activation pattern of human gait is dynamic, and changes as the motion or intent are changed, but the basic pattern of gait cycle is same for all. While developing AWS we considered factors such as nature of work area, age, flexibility to use in outside environment, lightweight, portable, easy to use, reduces muscle efforts during walking and no impact on normal gait cycle. With increasing elderly population, stressful work condition devices like these will play a significant role in improving the quality of life. L. Garçon et al. \cite{1} in his review mentioned there are large requirement assistive devices for mobility for people such as elderly, disabled and healthcare staff for various tasks involved in daily life. Among various lower limb assistive devices, there exists tradeoff between autonomous actuation, wearable, lightweight and affordability. HAL \cite{2} which enable walking easier for elderly and rehabilitation post stroke or accidents. Wearable agri robot \cite{3} designed for supporting farming activities and reduce muscle fatigue, it supports body posture and reduces the muscle fatigue. Walking assist device with body weight support system \cite{4} for augmenting walking and assistive squats motion required for pick and place tasks in the various work environment. RoboKnee \cite{5} is one DOF exoskeleton designed to support human locomotion such as walk and stair climbing. Plantarflexion assist exoskeleton \cite{6} is designed to reduce the metabolic cost of walking.

A tethered bilateral hip extension and plantarflexion exosuit \cite{7} experimented to test assistance magnitude and changes in the metabolic cost of walking and found a reduction in metabolic energy by 22.8\% while walking. Soft exosuit for hip assistance which provides 30\% of biological torque moment for gait \cite{8} by using spooled-webbing actuator connected to the back of the thigh. The Myosuit, an untethered biarticular exosuit reduces EMG activities during sit to stand transfer +motion by 26\% \cite{9}. Unilateral exosuit support ankle plantarflexion and dorsiflexion were reported to reduce metabolic rate of gait by 16\% \cite{10}. A soft inflatable exosuit for knee rehabilitation \cite{11} reduces muscle activity in rectus femoris by 7\%. A biologically inspired soft exosuit for walking assistance \cite{12} showed an average metabolic reduction of 5.1\% during walking. Passive unpowered exoskeleton reduces metabolic cost of gait by 7\% \cite{13}.

These devices are divided into segments such as healthcare, disability support and augmenting locomotion. These devices augment human motion significantly based on the reduction in EMG activities and metabolic rate during walking, but its use in outside environment is limited especially in agriculture and factory settings. For augmented walking wearable, lightweight, portable, easy to use and reduce muscle fatigue, these criteria are essential and together missing in assistive devices discussed above. To solve this problem previously, we developed a lightweight, low powered pneumatic gel muscle (PGM) \cite{7} as shown in Fig \ref{fig:pgm}. PGM can generate force with 60 kPa air pressure which is not possible in McKibben pneumatic artificial muscle (PAM) \cite{8}. It is also structure in a way to be stitched to fabric or fix using velcro tapes; this makes it easy to design the assistive suit. Fig \ref{fig:pgmelongationratio} shows the relation of supplied air pressure, generated force and maximum elongation as a percentage of resting length.

In \cite{16} we devised the concept of Unplugged Powered Suit (UPS) for walking assist using the advantage of PGM and gait cycle. UPS is a passive walking assist suit where air pressure required for actuating PGM is generated by placing rubber pump at the heel of the shoe. This configuration enables limb in stance phase to generate assistive air pressure required for contralateral limb in the swing phase. The pump in the shoe can generate air pressure up to 50 kPa. The challenge of this configuration as discussed in \cite{16} are actuation delay due to the long distance between pump and PGM; the UPS cannot be used for walking pitch of faster than two steps per sec. Another limitation of the UPS is the use of the pump in the shoe, in order support multiple muscle groups we need more pumps in the shoe which is not suitable for use in outside environment due to possible leaks in the pump and difficult to walk with pumps in the shoe. In the \cite{16} evaluation of the UPS was done based on only four muscle of lower limb and only rectus femoris showed a reduction in muscle activity by 20\% others showed no change. To overcome these challenges of UPS we developed AWS where air tank replaces the pumps and actuation control is designed using force sensitive resistors (FSR) sensors in the shoe. This change solves the problem of supporting variable walking speed, ability to support multiple muscle groups using additional PGM’s.

~~The actuation control of PGM was designed by attaching pump at the heel of a shoe. This configuration was able to generate minimal assistive force for walking. However, the challenge of this configuration changes in shoe design and placement of pumps in the shoe for generation of assistive force.~~

In this paper, we discuss the design and control of AWS, which improves on UPS by keeping human gait in the loop by using gait cycle detection system for generating assistive force. In section \ref{methodology} we discussed PGM and its force characteristics, biomechanics and human gait detection system and design and configuration of the AWS. In section \ref{Evaluation}, we discuss the evaluation criteria, experiment method, test setups, results of the lower limb surface EMG (sEMG) evaluation for two levels of assistive force with the comparison of average gait sEMG envelope for all subjects and statistical analysis. Section \ref{discuss} presents the discussion, conclusion and future works.

## Methodology

### Pneumatic Gel Muscle

PGM is a particular type of PAM designed to be driven by low air pressure. Fig. \ref{fig:pgm} shows schematics and real prototype of the PGM. It has a resting length of 30 cm, maximum contraction length of 25 cm and maximum elongation length of 45 cm. Construction of PGM includes an inner tube made of a unique styrene-based thermoplastic elastomer to improve the flexibility, and an outer protective mesh. McKibben PAM has rubber or silicon-based rubber tubes covered with protective mesh; these tubes need more air pressure to inflate whereas in case of PGM can generate force with air pressure as low as 50 kPa up to 300 kPa as reported by \cite{14}. The flexible design and working with low air pressure makes it more suitable choice for development of wearable assistive suits as compared to McKibben PAM who have higher force generating capacity but requires larger air pressure. Fig. \ref{fig:pgmelongationratio} shows elongation ratio of the PGM as measured by \cite{14} it shows the force-generating capacity of the PGM and elongation length for various level of air pressure. In the experiment, the one end of PGM is fixed, and test load is added to another end. Whereas in AWS both ends of the PGM is fixed and stretched, in this case, PGM’s force generating characteristics varies. This change is not measured in \cite{14}. Therefore we conducted an experiment to measure the force generated by PGM for stretched and un-stretched condition and different air pressure. The supported range of air pressure is 50 kPa to 300 kPa. Fig. \ref{fig:pgmtest} show experiment setup, where one end is connected to load cell, and at the other end air source is connected through Panasonic ADP5161 air pressure sensor. The experiment is conducted for two cases unstretched and stretched to 45 cm. Fig. \ref{fig:pgmforceprofile} shows the measured force profile for two conditions in both cases PGM shows linear force generation characteristics which are modeled as a linear equation as described in equation \ref{pgmunstreched} and \ref{pgmstreched} with their respective $R^2$ values. These models exhibit similar force generating behavior when used in AWS configuration. These characteristics are useful for controlling assistive force generated by PGM when used in AWS.

### Biomechanics of Gait Cycle

The design and control of the AWS are based on human walking, i.e., gait cycle and depends on how we walk. The gait cycle is divided into three major phases, stance phase, double limb support phase and swing phase. The stance phase is responsible for weight acceptance, and load transfer to support swing phase of the contralateral limb, Fig. \ref{fig: standardgait} shows classification of the gait cycle in the stance and swing phase based on the orientation of the foot. During the transition from one phase to another, there always exists a period where both the limbs are on the ground this phase is called double limb support (DLS). In the stance phase muscle activation of tibialis anterior (TA), rectus femoris (RF), vastus medialis (VM), vastus lateralis (VL), soleus (SOL), medial gastrocnemius (MG) and lateral gastrocnemius (LG) is observed. These muscles are active from heel strike until toe off in the stance phase. In the DLS phase, the limb transitioning in stance phase support the forward locomotion of the contralateral limb going in swing phase. In this phase both the limbs are on the ground for about 10\% of the one gait cycle. SOL, LG, MG and RF muscles are active and responsible for the limb going in swing phase. In the swing phase limb makes forward movement and RF, VL, VM, biceps femoris (BF) are major muscle contributors.

During gait cycle apart from the multiple muscle activation, position and orientation of foot also change. The orientation of foot in stance phase starts from the heel strike then flat foot, heels off and ends with toe-off. Whereas in swing phase, foot orientation starts from toe-off to heel strike. In DLS foot orientation of both limb contrasts each other, i.e. one limb does heel strike, and another does toe-off. This contrast information in DLS is beneficial to identify the limbs in swing and stance phase. In AWS we used this information to design assistive control mechanism to assist swing phase of the gait cycle which is discussed in following subsection \ref{method:awscontrol}.

AWS Design and Actuation Control Mechanism

AWS is designed to detect gait cycle and provide assistive force for limb in the swing phase. In the previous subsection \ref{method:gaitcycle} we talked about foot orientation in stance phase and the respective motion in the contralateral limb. We also discussed DLS, sensing the information contained in this phase is useful for controlling assistive forces generated by PGM. To sense this information, we placed force sensitive resistor (FSR) FSR-406 in the shoe to detect contrast foot orientation of both limbs in the DLS. The placement of FSR sensors is shown in Fig. \ref{fig:fsrsole}. This placement helps us identify the change in foot orientation while transitioning from stance to swing phase and vice versa in DLS. By utilizing the knowledge of the gait cycle and the foot orientation from FSR, we designed the assistive control mechanism for AWS. Fig. \ref{fig:awssystem} shows control mechanism of the AWS with FSR-406 sensor based stance and swing phase detection mechanism and assistive control. It is a continuous process of proportional (P) control where Arduino Uno board monitors the FSR sensor data to identify the limbs in the stance and swing phase in the gait cycle. Detection of the limb in the swing phase triggers assistive control mechanism of the PGM. For actuation control, we used Kaganei G010E1 3/2 normally closed solenoid valve. FSR sensor data is continuously monitored for switching ON/OFF solenoid valves. This system is realized using following equation

~~AWS is designed to use human motion to detect and provide assistive force. In the section \ref{method:gaitcycle} we talked about using foot orientation in stance phase and the respective motion in contralateral limb. To use this information an FSR-406 pressure sensor was place in the shoe to detect flat foot, which is when the assistive force is to be applied to the contralateral limb as it goes into the swing phase. FSR placement is shown in Fig. \ref{fig:fsrsole} and Fig. \ref {fig:awsbigfont} shows subject wearing proposed AWS with controller, battery, air tank in backpack. Fig. \ref{fig:awssystem} shows control mechanism of the AWS with FSR-406 sensor based stance and swing phase detection mechanism and assistive control. It is a continuous process of proportional (P) control where Arduino Uno board monitors the FSR sensor data to identify the limbs in stance and swing phase in the gait cycle. Detection of the limb in the swing phase triggers assistive control mechanism of the PGM. For actuation control we used Kaganei G010E1 3/2 normally closed solenoid valve. FSR sensor data is continuously monitored for switching ON/OFF solenoid valves. This system is realized using following equation~~
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\caption{The figure shows FSR-406 pressure sensor placement in the shoe. This placement detects the limb in stance and swing phase during DLS}
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\end{figure}

\begin{figure}

\centering

\includegraphics[width=1\linewidth]{../photos/awsbigfont}

\caption{The figure shows a subject wearing proposed AWS. The suit consists of backpack with controller, battery and air tank, waist support belt, knee support, two PGMs, pneumaitic valves, pressure sensors placed in shoe for controlling assistive force.}
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\caption{The figure shows AWS assist control mechanism as a continuous process of Proportional (P) control which uses FSR sensor in the shoe to actuate PGM switching on pneumatic valves connected to portable air tank.}

\label{fig:awssystem}

\end{figure}

\begin{equation}\label{kevalue}

E = R - Y

\end{equation}

\begin{equation}\label{uvalue}

U = kpE

\end{equation}

where $E$ is error signal, $R$ is calibrated threshold value of the FSR sensor, and $Y$ is the analog value of the FSR sensor, $U$ is input to the solenoid valve and $kp$ is the P-gain.

The assistive control mechanism detects gait cycle by sensing the transition from one phase to another on both limbs in DLS. This way we avoid unwanted assistive forces during the stationary state where there is no transition. The assistive force generated by the AWS is directly proportional to the supplied air pressure. The supplied air pressure is controlled using the regulator attached to small air tank used as a source of air pressure.

~~This switching controller is designed to detect stance phase of the gait cycle and generate assistive force only during walking thereby avoiding unwanted actuation in stationary state. The supplied air pressure is directly proportional to the assistive force therefore, air pressure control is done though pressure regulator attached to the compressed air tank.~~

Fig. \ref{fig:awsbigfont} shows the developed prototype of AWS. AWS consists of waist support and knee support belt for fixing the PGM, PGM along rectus femoris of both limbs, solenoid valves connected to the PGM for actuation control, drawstring backpack which has controller circuit, portable battery and portable air tank. FSR sensors in the shoes are connected to the controller using wires. The weight of the system is 1 kg which is lighter than most of the state of the art wearable and portable walking assistive suits. \<Please add table with list of items in the AWS and their weights with total weight. Update the weight of the system in a previous statement.\>

### AWS Performance Evaluation through Muscle Activation Pattern of Lower Limb Muscles

As discussed in section \ref{intro}, the AWS was designed to augment walking by overcoming the challenges of UPS to assist variable walking speed, use in outside environment, ability to regulate assistive force generated by PGM. In section \ref{ method:awscontrol} we discussed design AWS and how we addressed the shortcomings of UPS discussed in \cite{14}. To test our assumption that the newly developed AWS can assist walking and change in assistive air pressure reduces muscle activity in lower limb muscle groups the experiment was conducted to test performance evaluation of AWS with two levels of assistive air pressure.

Walking involves a combination of muscle activation dynamics of both anterior and posterior lower limb muscles. These changes are recorded using sEMG signals of eight major posterior and anterior muscles which contribute to the gait cycle. We measured TA, SOL, MG, LG, RF, VM, VL and BF, these muscles are superficially accessible to record sEMG of the lower limb and collectively support gait cycle. The performance of the AWS is measured based on the statistical difference in the sEMG recorded when the subject is not wearing AWS and wearing AWS with two levels of assistive air pressure.

### ~~AWS is designed to reduce muscle efforts during walking by using PGM to provide assistive force. Required assistive force can be increased or decrease by regulating supplied air pressure. In our experiment performance of AWS was evaluated for different assistive force. Walking involves combination of muscle activation dynamics of both anterior and posterior lower limb muscles. These changes are recorded using sEMG signals of eight major posterior and anterior muscles which contribute to the gait cycle. We measured TA, SOL, MG, LG, RF, VM, VL and BF, these are the most accessible and prominent muscles of the lower limb and collectively support gait cycle. The performance of the AWS is measured based on the statistical difference in the sEMG recorded between when the subject is not wearing AWS and wearing AWS with two levels of assistive air pressure.~~

### Experiment Protocol­­

To evaluate the effect of the AWS on muscle activation pattern of lower limb muscles for two levels of assistive air pressure the device was tested on a group of N=7 healthy young subjects with no gait abnormalities. Subject’s age ($\pm$ SD) was 28.8 $\pm$ 5.1, height was 150 $\pm$ \SI{10}{\centi\meter} and weight 70.8 $\pm$ \SI{14.5}{\kilogram}. All the subjects participated in the experiment after a brief introduction of the AWS and experiment requirements.

For effective evaluation of the assisted gait, we need to measure minimum three full gait cycles \cite{17}. In our experiment, we recorded sEMG for ten full gait cycles. It was done by asking subjects to walk 15 m straight on a flat surface by maintaining the walking speed during all experiments. The sEMG and FSR sensor data was logged using Personal EMG device from Oisaka electronic Ltd. For continuous and uninterrupted walking and recording of the data during the experiment, we prepared a backpack which contains the P-EMG device, portable battery, and a laptop to operate the P-EMG device. The backpack also contains controller circuit for AWS and portable air tank. The laptop was accessed remotely to record the sEMG. Fig. \ref{fig:experimentsetup} shows the experimental setup with the backpack, the total weight of the backpack is \SI{6}{\kilogram}.

~~For recording sEMG and FSR sensor data we prepared a backpack as shown in Fig. \ref{fig:experimentsetup} which includes, AWS controller circuit, P-EMG devices for recording sEMG, laptop (this laptop was remote operated to log EMG data), portable battery (required for AWS controller and P-EMG device). The total weight of the backpacks is 6 kg.~~

Before starting the experiment, we recorded MVC for each muscle under observation for all subjects. Various exercise was performed to record MVC for different muscle groups. Squats exercise for BF; calf raises for SOL, LG, and MG; thigh contraction for RF, VM, and VL and ankle dorsiflexion for TA.

With the above setup, we conducted three experiments to evaluate AWS. In the first experiment, sEMG data was recorded for normal gait, i.e. when subjects are not wearing the AWS. It gives us the baseline for evaluating effects of AWS. In second and third experiment sEMG data was recorded for the assisted gait, i.e. subject wearing AWS with the backpack containing experiment setup. For all subjects sEMG of right limb was measured two levels of assistive air pressure used during this experiment, i.e. \SI{60}{\kilo\pascal} and \SI{100}{\kilo\pascal} respectively. Three iterations of each experiment were performed to record enough data to conduct statistical analysis.

~~Total 3 experiment was performed, first experiment conducted to record sEMG data for normal gait cycle i.e. when subject is not wearing the AWS. Second experiment was conducted by wearing AWS which includes waist support belt, knee support, PGM, solenoid valves, shoe with FSR sensor, air tank with pressure regulator and backpack as described above. In this experiment gait performance was measured without air supply, it was done because the PGM has its own elasticity which provides minimum assistive force. In the third experiment we measured gait performance by supplying 60 kPa air pressure and fourth experiment was conducted to measure gait performance when supplied air pressure is 100 kPa. Three iterations of each experiment were conducted to perform statistical analysis of the sEMG evaluation using two sample t-test method.~~

~~Seven subjects participated in the experiment. Information was shared with all the subjects prior to the experiment. During the experiment subjects could relax or take break to avoid muscle fatigue because of carrying heavy backpack during experiments.~~

### Results

The recorded sEMG was rectified with integrated EMG (iEMG), second order low pass filter with cut off frequency of \SI{100}{\hertz}, second order high pass filter with cut off frequency of \SI{40}{\hertz} using P-EMG plus tool for the P-EMG device. The recorded sEMG was normalized using MVC to find \%MVC, and ten gait cycles for each subject was averaged to create one gait cycle which is further averaged to generate one gait cycle of all subject. The standard deviation and statistical analysis using two-sample t-test were conducted on the normalized averaged sEMG data for all the muscle under observation.

Fig. \ref{fig:emgenvelope} shows normalized average sEMG signal envelope with standard deviation for gait cycle when AWS is not worn and when AWS is worn with two level assistive air pressure at \SI{60}{\kilo\pascal} and \SI{100}{\kilo\pascal}. The figure also shows FSR sensor data for both limbs. The signal peak shows the stance phase on the respective limb and swing on the contralateral limb. The assistive force was applied during the swing phase of the right limb. From the graphs, we see that as we increase the assistive air pressure reduction in the peak value of the normalized averaged sEMG signal is observed. To quantitively evaluate the differences we conducted two-sample t-test between unassisted gait and two assisted gaits. Fig. \ref{fig:aggregatedbargraph} shows averaged \%MVC data for the three experiments and their significance to individual muscle. Table \ref{t-test} shows the result of the two-sample t-test showing the significance of the reduction in the muscle activity based on $p-value$.

All the muscles showed significant reduction or no change in the muscle activity evaluated during the experiment. Muscles showed reduction for both levels of assistive air pressure provided. For TA ($p-value$ of \SI{60}{\kilo\pascal} \& $p-value$ \SI{100}{\kilo\pascal}) ($p\le0.01$ \& $p\le0.01$), SOL ($p\le0.05$ \& $p\le0.05$), MG ($p\le0.05$ \& $p\le0.05$), LG ($p\le0.01$ \& $p\le0.05$), RF ($p\le0.01$ \& $p\le0.05$), VL ($p\le0.01$ and BF ($p\le0.05$ \& $p\le0.01$)). For VM, both assistive level showed reduction average \%MVC but the reduction is not significant. This shows that using AWS to assist swing phase of the gait cycle reduces muscle activity of lower limb muscle during walking. When compared the reduction in the \%MVC of assisted gait as compared to unassisted gait we found that (\% reduction at 60 kPa $\pm$ SD \& \% reduction at 100 kPa $\pm$ SD) for TA (16.9\% $\pm$ 2.9\% \& 22.7\% $\pm$ 3.24\%), SOL (7.7\% $\pm$ 6.6\% \& 8.10\% $\pm$ 6.9\%), MG (5.5\% $\pm$ 6.4\%\& 8.50\% $\pm$ 6.3\%), LG (14.84\% $\pm$ 6.3\% \& 13.10\% $\pm$ 6.6\%), RF (44\% $\pm$ 5.9\% \& 31\% $\pm$ 4.9\%), VM (8.8\% $\pm$ 21.2\% \& 7.9\% $\pm$ 16\%), VL(22.5\% $\pm$ 5.1\% \& 11.1\% $\pm$ 5.8\%) and BF (25.4\% $\pm$ 3.66\% \& 27.6\% $\pm$ 2.44\%) was observed. The percentage reduction in muscle activity is shown in Table \ref{percentred} for reference.

Based on the analysis of average sEMG signal envelope, statistical analysis and percentage reduction in the muscle activity, it shows that AWS developed using PGM can work with low air pressure and also able to reduce the muscle efforts significantly in both levels of assisted gait by assisting swing phase of the gait cycle.

~~Fig. \ref{fig:emgenvelope} and \ref{fig:aggregatedbargraph} shows comparison of muscle activation for all three experiments, the graphs also show stance phase detection for both legs based on the recorded foot sensor data, it was also used for segmenting gait cycles and calculating average gait signal for each experiment. The portion of the graph highlighted in the green is stance phase detection on the left leg which provides assistive force on right leg as it transitions from stance phase to swing phase. The portion of the graph highlighter in the blue shows the gait phase during which effect of assistive force is observed. This graph visualizes the difference in the sEMG signal envelope for normal gait when AWS is not worn and when it is worn with two levels of assistive air pressure is used i.e. 60 kPa and 100 kPa. Significance of changes in sEMG were quantified by running two sample t-test to calculate statistical difference and p-value for significance of the difference in the normal and assisted gait signal for all muscles for all subjects. Fig. \ref{fig:aggregatedbargraph} shows averaged \%MVC data for for the three experiments and their significance individual muscle. Table 1 shows result of the two sample t-test showing significance of the reduction in the muscle activity.~~

~~For TA, observation of average sEMG enveloped shows reduction in peak value and sEMG envelop for 3 subjects and the \%MVC comparison shows significant difference in normal and assisted gait ($p-value < 0.05$ and $p-value < 0.01$) except for subject 1 who shows no change at all. For SOL two subject showed significant change in \%MVC ($p-value < 0.01$). For MG no change is observed from the sEMG signal envelope and \%MVC data shows two subject have significant change ($p-value < 0.05$). For LG 3 subjects showed significant difference ($p-value < 0.05$ and $p-value < <0.01$) whereas two subject show reduced \%MVC but non-significant. RF shows significant change for all subjects ($p-value < 0.05$ and $p-value < 0.01$), observation of sEMG shows reduction in signal envelope and peak value for assisted gait. For VM and VL \%MVC shows significant difference between normal and assisted gait ($p-value < 0.05$ and $p-value < 0.01$) whereas subject 3 showed no change in \%MVC of both muscles. For BF subject 1 and 3 shows increased in the sEMG signal peak during terminal swing phase and \%MVC shows significant reduction for assisted gait with 60 kPa assistive pressure ($p-value < 0.05$).~~

### Discussion

### Our results show that the previously developed PGM is used for the development of AWS and making it lightweight, portable and easy to use. The use of AWS showed a reduction in the muscle activity in all the major lower limb muscle. Due to the soft nature of the suit this device does not drastically disturb the normal gait of the wearer.

The assistive control developed for AWS detect limbs going in the stance and swing phase during DLS by differentiating the FSR sensor data. This simple P control supports 20\%-30\% of the gait cycle during swing phase. Due to the nature of the gait cycle and power source for the actuator, it is easy to add additional PGM’s to the AWS for increasing the range of assistive force. It is also possible to support stance and swing phase on a contralateral limb using same solenoid valve as in standard gait cycle both limbs functions synchronously.

The device uses wait and knee support to attach PGM along rectus femoris. This position sometimes causes little disturbance during walking. It can be addressed by changing the way PGM’s are attached to the limbs in a way such that it does not disturb degree of freedom (DOF) at the knee or any other joint PGM’s are used.

The current state of the art wearable walking assist suits inspired by biological function of walking and the assistive actuators are always aligned with the muscles of the lower limb \cite{8} .. \cite{13}. In such devices, the swing phase of the gait is assisted with actuators mechanism closed to the ankle or along SOL muscle and have demonstrated the reduction in the metabolic cost of walking. In our research, AWS to assist swing phase PGM are attached along RF muscle from hip to knee, and experimental evaluation shows a reduction in the muscle activity TA, SOL, MG, and LG. These muscles are responsible for starting the swing phase starting from heels off in the stance phase. Along with this, reduction in the muscle activities is seen in RF VL and BF. However, changes in these muscle group show as we increase the assistive air percentage reduction in muscle activity decreases. These characteristics can be seen in Table \ref{percentred} and also from Fig. \ref{fig:aggregatedbargraph}.

Qualitatively from the oral feedback from subjects, we found that the device is lightweight when not using 6 kg experimental setup; they also reported a feeling of the assistive force by PGM during the swing phase of the gait cycle. Some subject talked about easier walking when assistive air pressure is increased to 100 kPa as compared to 60 kPa. They have reported that the PGM attachments does not disturb the walking experience much but improving the attachment at the knee can have a good feeling and better walking experience.

### ~~In this paper we discussed the development of soft wearable Augmented Walking Suit designed to reduce muscle effort during walking. This suit uses only one PGM for each lower limb for augmenting walking gait. Control of the assistive force is performed based on pressure sensor installed in the shoe. This sensor detects stance phase from heel touch to flat foot, this detecting mechanism helps trigger air valves to generate assistive force for the contralateral limb in swing phase. By placing sensors in both shoes gait phase of the individual limb and contralateral limb can be identified. Performance evaluation of AWS was done based on the statistical difference in the average \%MVC of sEMG signal between normal and assisted gait measured for 7 subjects. From the results we can find that use of AWS has reduced muscle activation pattern during experiment especially in the swing phase as designed.~~

### ~~During swing phase of the gait cycle RF, VM, VL, BF, TA and LG all these muscles show showed significant difference in the \%MVC for most of the subjects. Soleus showed increased in the \%MVC for subjects in case of assisted gait using AWS with no air supply, soleus muscle is active during pre-swing (toe off) phase of the gait cycle. We believe the reason for this is the placement of PGM which creates flexion torque at knee during terminal stance where soleus is responsible for toe off and knee extension during initial swing phase.~~

### Conclusion and Future Work

In this paper, we discussed the design, development, and evaluation of AWS to overcome the limitation of UPS regarding variable walking speed, easy to use and portability. To achieve this, we developed assistive control system by detecting limbs in the stance and swing phase during DLS phase of the gait cycle. The simple P control can switch on / off the assistive force during swing and stance phase respectively. While transitioning from UPS to AWS, the device is still lightweight due to use of portable air tanks. We also demonstrated that AWS can unload muscle efforts significantly during walking as tested for two level of assistive air pressure (comparing the sEMG for not wearing AWS and wearing AWS with two levels of assistive air pressure).

Our evaluation showed good results regarding unloading muscle efforts, during this process we found many areas for improvements and future tasks. In our result, we observe that for RF, VM, VL, and BF antagonistic behavior are seen. Increase in the assistive air pressure decreases the percentage change in the muscle efforts; we would like to figure out the cause of such behavior in our further study and find the mechanism to cancel out such behavior. In our current study, we evaluate AWS using muscle activity changes, but the kinematic and physiological study are also essential for the evaluation of wearable assistive suit, we plan to conduct these studies in the future. The assistive control of AWS uses FSR sensors in the shoe, the wires connecting for the shoe to the controller in the backpack causes little irritation to the user. We think the use of (inertial measurement unit) IMU sensors at the knee and ankle joint or flexible stretch sensors can provide information regarding gait cycle and wiring can be concealed in the suit. The current implementation of the AWS controller, battery, and air tank are placed in the backpack. In future, we want to integrate these in the waist support belt to make the device more user-friendly.

We believe devices like these have enough opportunities for augmenting human walking for all age groups for augmented motion, rehabilitation and augmented sports and fun activities. To achieve this, further activities involves modeling of PGM assistive force characteristics and use it for dynamic assistive control and cancel out the negative effect on some of the muscle.

In this paper we developed Augmented Walking Suit and PGM actuation control based on stance phase detection system. Results of performance evaluation experiment showed statistical significant reduction in muscle activation of lower limb muscle. The current mechanism provides assistive force for 10\% to 15\% of the gait cycle during swing phase. The current configuration is lightweight, portable and easy to use. In future work, we plan to devise full gait detection system for detail control over muscle activation, this will allow us to add more PGM in the suit for detailed control over gait cycle and improve augmentation factor of AWS while keeping it lightweight and portable.